IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

ROGER L. JOHNSON, §

Defendant Below, § No. 226, 2019

Appellant,

§ Court Below—Superior Court

v. § of the State of Delaware

Ş

STATE OF DELAWARE, § Cr. ID No. 9908000065 (K)

§

Plaintiff Below, § Appellee.

Submitted: June 5, 2019 Decided: July 17, 2019

Before STRINE, Chief Justice; VALIHURA and VAUGHN, Justices.

ORDER

Having considered the notice to show cause and the response, it appears to the Court that:

(1) On May 24, 2019, the appellant, Roger L. Johnson, filed a notice of appeal from a Superior Court order, dated April 5, 2019, denying his motion to recuse the bench. The Senior Court Clerk issued a notice directing Johnson to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for this Court's lack of jurisdiction to consider a criminal interlocutory appeal and for untimeliness. In his response to the notice to show cause, Johnson argues that the Superior Court order is final.

(2) This Court may only review a final judgment in a criminal case.¹ An order denying a motion for recusal is not a final order.² This appeal is also untimely because it was filed more than thirty days after the entry of the order upon the docket.³ The appeal must be dismissed.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, under Supreme Court Rule 29(b), that this appeal is DISMISSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Karen L. Valihura
Justice

¹ Del. Const. art. IV, § 11(1)(b). *See also Gottlieb v. State*, 697 A.2d 400, 401 (Del. 1997) (holding this Court lacks jurisdiction to review interlocutory orders in criminal cases).

² See, e.g., Shelley v. State, 2018 WL 6331623, at *1 (Del. Dec. 3, 2018) (dismissing appeal from denial of motion to recuse as interlocutory); *Desmond v. State*, 2010 WL 3673039, at *1 (Del. Sept. 21, 2010) ("The denial of a motion for recusal of a judge is not a final, appealable order.").

³ Supr. Ct. R. 6 (a)(iv) (providing that appeal of postconviction order must be filed within thirty days of entry of the order upon the docket).